UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,889	06/05/2006	Achim Feurer	Le A 36 411	5900
35969 Barbara A. Shir	7590 07/07/200 nei	EXAMINER		
Director, Patent		MURRAY, JEFFREY H		
	re LLC - Pharmaceuti ns Road, Third Floor	cals	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY 10591			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/531,889	FEURER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,9,10 and 12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,10 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the specific sp	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/531,889 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-4, 9, 10 and 12 are pending in this application. Claims 5-8 and 11 have been cancelled. This action is in response to the applicants' amendment after a non-final and reply filed on April 3, 2009.

Withdrawn Rejections/Objections

2. Applicant is notified that any outstanding rejection/objection that is not expressly maintained in this office action has been withdrawn or rendered moot in view of applicant's amendments and/or remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a composition or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, does not reasonably provide enablement for any other compositions combined with "a further active compound" not previously described. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 4. The test of enablement is whether one skilled in the art could make and use the claimed invention from the disclosures in the application coupled with information known in the art without undue experimentation. (*United States v. Teletronics Inc.*, 8 USPQ2d 1217 (Fed. Cir. 1988)). Whether undue experimentation is needed is not based on a single factor, but rather a conclusion reached by weighing many factors (See *Ex parte*

Forman 230 USPQ 546 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1986) and In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

1) Amount of guidance provided by Applicant. While the Applicant has demonstrated within the application how to make pyrazolopyrimidines, applicant has provided no guidance, or provided any chemical or biological data and/or testing results of these particular compositions in combination with "a further active compound" or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.

The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention must be considered to determine if undue experimentation is present. Here applicants do not describe in any explicit detail what types of further active compounds have been combined with the compositions. As currently written, these "medicament active ingredients" could cover a plethora of various disciplines as the "medicament active ingredients" term is undefined.

2) Unpredictability in the art. It is well established that "the scope of enablement varies inversely with the degree of unpredictability of the factors involved", and physiological activity is generally considered to be an unpredictable factor. (USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). See In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166.

Applicants have provided no biological testing of any results where the compositions were combined with this "further active compound." Without this, one cannot simply infer that the results of the combination would be additive from the compositions or the active compounds alone. In many instances, the systematic screening of combinations of small molecules can reveal unexpected interactions

Application/Control Number: 10/531,889

Art Unit: 1624

between the pathways on which they act. (Borisy, et. al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 100(13) 7977-7982.)

Page 4

- 3) Number of working examples. The compound core depicted with specific substituents represent a narrow subgenus for which applicant has provided sufficient guidance to make and use; however, this disclosure is not sufficient to allow extrapolation of the limited examples of compounds to enable the scope of the compositions combined with additional medicament active ingredients. Applicant has provided no working examples of any compositions which have been combined with additional medicament active ingredients in the present application.
- 4) Scope of the claims. The scope of the claims involves all of the millions of compositions of the following formula:

whereby the compound above is combined with "a further active compound" thus the scope of the claims is broad.

5) Nature of the invention. The invention relates to heteroaryloxy-substituted phenylaminopyrimidines, to a process for their preparation and to their use for preparing medicaments for the treatment and/or prophylaxis of diseases in humans and animals, in particular cardiovascular disorders.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,889 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

6) Level of skill in the art. The artisan using Applicants invention would be a doctor with a M.D. degree, and having several years of professional experience.

MPEP §2164.01 (a) states, "A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the above factors, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. *In re Wright*, 999 F.2d 1557,1562, 27 USPQ2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993)." That conclusion is clearly justified here that Applicant is not enabled for treating the disease mentioned.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "a further active compound" in the last line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is no definition of what is meant by a "further active compound" in particular what receptor the "further active compound" is deemed active for, or whether the compound is active "for" or "against" a receptor. No new matter permitted. Appropriate correction required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-4, 10 and 12 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 10, and 12 are free of the prior art. The closest prior art to these claims is Gour, et. al., WO 2001053331, which teaches a compound of claim 1 but for

Application/Control Number: 10/531,889 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

the A variable. The prior art teaches the A variable as a phenyl ring, but not a 9-membrered bicyclic heterocyclic ring as in the current application.

Conclusion

8. Claim 9 is rejected.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey H. Murray whose telephone number is 571-272-9023. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey H Murray/ Patent Examiner , Art Unit 1624 /James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624 Application/Control Number: 10/531,889

Page 7

Art Unit: 1624